THE ANTENNA PERFORMANCE OF AMSU-B AND MHS IN FLIGHT MARTIN BURGDORF

UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG

OBSERVING THE MOON WITH AMSU-B AND MHS

Position of 🔆 🌍)*



Light Curves



THE LIGHT CURVE OF A MOON INTRUSION WITH MHS

NOAA-18 on 1/14, 2014, 7:28



Metop-C on 11/16, 2019, 12:28



MEAN HALF POWER BEAMWIDTH AND BEAM SHAPE

- Duration of Moon intrusion \rightarrow beam size
- Check with measured radiance
- In 90% FWHM from ground tests significantly smaller than in-flight
- Beam diameter for sounding channels ≥ 1.21° (except for NOAA-17 and Metop-B)
- NOAA satellites very close to axisymmetric Gaussian beam pattern (unlike MHS on Metop)

POINTING ERROR OF AMSU-B

It is possible to determine the pointing direction in both the along-track and the scan direction with high accuracy from the amplitude and the position of the peak in each pixel of the deep space view. Channel 1: red, channel 2: yellow, other channels: green, nominal position: black



0.2

 $\Delta \phi$ in deg

0.1

0

0.3

0.4





POINTING ACCURACY OF METOP-A, -B, AND -C

Pointing In-Flight







POINTING DIRECTION (SYSTEMATIC MISALIGNMENT)

- The coregistration performance stays always within the specification of ±0.07° (±1 km)
- The pointing accuracy in scan direction stays always within the specification of ±0.09° (except for NOAA-16, -17 and Metop-C)
- The pointing accuracy in flight direction is only achieved with NOAA-15, -17, and -18. Metop-C has largest errors.
- Worst overall pointing accuracy: Metop-C with 0.4° or 6 km (relevant to SNO prediction)

CONCLUSIONS

- Moon intrusions in the deep space view are helpful for characterising *all* channels in flight w.r.t.
 - Pointing error
 - Beamwidth
 - Anomalies of beam shape
- Check on the ground tests by Airbus DS, particularly large discrepancy found with MHS on Metop-C
- MHS on Metop-C non compliant with requirements (beamwidth sounding channels, pointing accuracy)
- SNO distance threshold of 5 km a problem for Metop-C and NOAA-17