The newly revised GSICS DCC Calibration ATBD for GEO imagers: *Community feedback and Discussion* 

Raj Bhatt, David Doelling, Conor Haney, Benjamin Scarino, Arun Gopalan, and <u>Prathana Khakurel</u>

**CERES** Geostationary and Imager Calibration Group

**NASA Langley Research Center** 

GSICS Annual Meeting Mar 14-17, 2022

### Newly revised DCC-IT Calibration ATBD highlights

- Extends the methodology to all spectral channels between 0.4-1.0  $\mu m$
- Uses the most recent and well-calibrated NOAA-20 VIIRS sensor as a reference instrument for DCC characterization
- *IR BT threshold normalization between GEO and VIIRS for consistent DCC sampling and response*
- Seasonal corrections of GEO monthly DCC response
- Spectral corrections using NASA Langley's robust online SBAF computation tool
- *PDF bin optimization (0.2-0.4% of Mode)*

# Key assumptions of DCC-IT calibration method

- DCC-IT inter-calibration technique relies on a large ensemble of tropical DCC pixels identified using similar thresholds for reference LEO and target GEO sensors
- DCC-IT does not require simultaneous and ray-matched observations between GEO and LEO for inter-calibration
  - Agencies do not need to acquire real-time VIIRS (or any other reference sensor) data for calibrating GEOs
  - DCC response over a specific GEO domain is stable and characterized using the reference VIIRS instrument

#### Reference DCC mode values

- NOAA-20 VIIRS L1B Collection 2.1 products from NASA Land SIPS used as reference
- Regional variation of DCC mode is ~1%
  - brightest over 0°E longitude
  - DCC radiances are lower over TWP





#### Computation of monthly GEO calibration gain

•  $\gamma_{GEO,cal-slope} = \frac{L_{GEO,Mode,reference}}{C_{GEO,Mode,observed}} (Wm^{-2}\mu m^{-1}sr^{-1}/Count)$ 

- VIIRS mode radiance must be corrected for spectral differences using SBAF
- Space count or sensor offset must be subtracted from the GEO counts
- Use I1 (instead of M5) band for new generation GEOs
  - Better matching SRFs (mitigate SBAF uncertainty)
- DCC-IT gains are consistent with those from direct ray-matching approach (ATO-RM)



GEO DCC PIXEL IDENTIFICATION AND ARCHIVING

Identification
Lat/Lon boundaries and BT threshold

Archiving

DCC PIXEL FILTERING AND PROCESSING

space usage)

Subtract space count

Monthly DCC data files (Binary file format to reduce disk

 Save DCC pixel count/radiance, IR BT, VZA, SZA, RAA, 3x3 pixel spatial standard deviation for VIS and IR

Filter data based on angular thresholds and homogeneity tests (Section 2.1)

COS(SZA) and Earth-Sun distance corrections Pixel anisotropic corrections using Hu ADM

(Section 2.3)

Construct Monthly DCC PDFs and compute mode (Section 2.4)

#### Feedback from EUMETSAT

- Deseasonalization Approach: Uniform or Agency-specific
  - Should not matter if the deseasonalization does not alter the trend or mean absolute bias
- Trend difference before and after deseasonalization
  - 0.51%/year vs 0.47%/year
- Reference dataset should be made explicit (e.g. NOAA-20 VIIRS Collection 2.1 processed by NASA Land SIPS)
- Briefly describe differences between the NOAA and NASA datasets
  - Consistent within 0.2%
- Monthly processing of DCC data and file format: agency specific
- Section for GSICS products
  - Deliverables
  - GSICS DCC Reference Dataset
  - GSICS DCC intermediate products (if needed)
  - GSICS VNIR DCC product
  - Benchmark dataset (to test the implementation)





https://ncc.nesdis.noaa.gov/NOAA-20/GSICS\_on-orbit\_Reference.php

### Feedback from EUMETSAT contd.

- Significance of IR BT normalization: Impact on sampling and DCC Mode/Mean sensitivity
  - DCC response has dependency on BT threshold
- IR BT normalization using IASI intercalibration
  - Use IASI spectra above DCC and have a proper SBAF approach for the 11um band?
  - Would allow to derive SBAFs for older instruments than VIIRS on NOAA-20...
  - Goal is not to characterize spectral differences
  - Obtain consistent DCC pixel identifications
- Could you provide the SBAFs (in the IR) for all the Meteosat missions? At the moment the ATBD does include only Meteosat-8 and 11.
  - SBAFs can be estimated from Langley's IASI tool
  - Knowing SBAF is not adequate as it doesn't account for the calibration difference
  - Long term stability of IR calibration and instrument characterization/performance at cold scenes are critical
  - Direct intercalibration of reference and target IR channels is the most effective way



#### Feedback from GOES-R CWG, NOAA

- Need a section to outline SI-traceability
  - CPF as a SI-traceable reference, transfer to N20-VIIRS->MODIS and others
  - DCC-IT does not need simultaneous measurements
  - With proper IR BT normalization and consistent DCC sampling, CPF reference can be transferred back in time
  - Decadal variation of DCC is minimal based on 20 years of MODIS data
- Elaborate. Vis & IR for GEO do not have the same resolution. Nor the IR (& VIS) for GEO vs. LEO
  - Spatial homogeneity tests help
  - Sub-sampling vs averaging should be similar over homogeneous DCC regions
  - GEO and LEO spatial resolution difference

## Feedback from JMA

- Deseasonalization process is only applied to GEO satellites. Isn't the process necessary for VIIRS data?
  - *Reference DCC mode value is derived from timeseries mean*
- Does this mean that each GEO satellite operator doesn't need to process VIIRS data?
  - No coincident VIIRS data needed for this method
- The SRF of Himawari-8 shown in Fig.2 looks that of Band 14 (11.2um). If yes, "Him-08 Band 13 (10.8um)" in the Table 1 --> "Him-08 Band 14 (11.2um)". In addition, would you let me know the reason why you don't adopt AHI/B13 but B14? Both of the SRFs of B13 and B14 are close to that of VIIRS M15.
  - Choose IR channel with better radiometric performance at cold end, and good stability over time
- How can we select the bin size? A bin size is required to compute a mode. Do you have any good idea to make the first bin size?
  - Optimal PDF bin size depends on DCC pixels, instrument bit resolution, balance between noise and trend detection, temporal stability (large temporal degradation may need bin adjustment over time, discretization issue)
  - Start with bin size =0.5% of mean

## Conclusions

- DCC are an excellent invariant target for post-launch radiometric calibration of satellite sensors.
- DCC can be referenced to a well-calibrated sensor (MODIS or VIIRS) for transferring absolute calibration to other GEO and LEO sensors.
- The newly revised GSICS DCC ATBD offers several improvements:
  - Extends the methodology to all spectral channels between 0.4-1.0  $\mu m$
  - Inter-calibration uncertainty is reduced by applying
    - *IR BT threshold normalization between GEO and VIIRS*
    - Deseasonalization of monthly DCC responses
  - Uses the most recent and well-calibrated NOAA-20 VIIRS sensor as a reference instrument for DCC characterization
  - Provides more comprehensive details on the formulation and implementation of DCC method
    - Reference DCC modes for multiple GEO domains, SBAF computation, uncertainty analysis, GSICS DCC products, SI-traceability in future
- Next steps: Complete ATBD (July 2022), Joint DCC implementation paper

## Back up slides

#### **IR BT threshold normalization**



- GOES-16 ABI and Himawari-8 AHI IR channels would measure DCC BT slightly warmer than NOAA-20 VIIRS M15, provided they are all consistently calibrated
- A DCC pixel with a BT of 205 K measured by VIIRS M15 is recorded as 206.1 K by GOES-16 ABI B14
- For consistent DCC sampling between GEO and VIIRS, the GEO IR BT threshold must be adjusted to equivalent VIIRS BT
- The magnitude of BT adjustment might change over time depending upon the temporal stability of the IR calibration onboard GEO and VIIRS
- BT normalization is essential to account for any differences in SRFs and calibration of the IR channels between GEO and VIIRS