

Inter-calibration and validation of observations from ATMS and SAPHIR microwave sounders

Isaac Moradi
ESSIC/CICS-MD, University of Maryland

Ralph Ferraro, **STAR/NESDIS, NOAA**

GSICS MW Subgroup
September 16, 2015


- ❑ **Radiometric and Geometric Errors**
- ❑ **ATMS and SAPHIR instruments**
- ❑ **Inter-calibrating SAPHIR and ATMS**
- ❑ **Validation versus radiosonde data**
- ❑ **Validation versus GPS-RO profiles**
- ❑ **Geolocation Errors**
- ❑ **Conclusion**

❑ Radiometric Errors

- Change in Antenna Reflectivity and Emissivity
- Imperfect Electronics: APC, Oscillators, Amplifiers, ...
- Radio Frequency Interference (RFI)
- Uncertainty in Warm Load Temperature
- Non-linearity in the Calibration
- Pre- and Post-processing Errors

❑ Geometric Errors



- Antenna and/or Feedhorn Misalignment
- Satellite Attitude Offset
- Satellite Clock Offset and Timing Error
- Error in Ephemeris Data
- Anomaly in Scan-drive Motor
- Error in Sensor Modelling



- ❑ A microwave imager (MADRAS) to study precipitation and cloud properties (SSM/I type, with an additional channel at 157 GHz).
- ❑ A microwave sounding instrument for the atmospheric water vapor (SAPHIR - 6 channels in the 183 GHz band).
- ❑ A radiometer for measuring outgoing radiative fluxes at the top of the atmosphere (ScaRaB).





Inter-calibrating SAPHIR and ATMS

SAPHIR vs. ATMS




ATMS	SAPHIR	Bias (Obs)	Bias (Sim)	Obs - Sim
183 ± 7.0	183 ± 6.8	-0.68	-0.42	-0.26
183 ± 4.5	183 ± 4.2	-1.56	-0.91	-0.65
183 ± 3.0	183 ± 2.8	-1.23	-0.93	-0.30
183 ± 1.0	183 ± 1.1	+0.42	+0.90	-0.48

SAPHIR vs. ATMS





Validating using radiosonde data


ATMS Weighting Functions




Cloud and PWV Filters




Filter for balloon drift



Validating Using ARM Data







SAPHIR vs ARM



ATMS vs ARM


Error in IGRA humidity profiles



Moradi et al., JGR, 2013


Validating using GPS-RO data

ATMS Weighting Functions




GPS Radio Occultation Data



- ❑ Radio signals transmitted by Global Positioning System (GPS) satellites are received by a receiver on a LEO satellite
- ❑ Temperature and water vapor profiles are derived from bending angles using a-priori profiles and inversion techniques
- ❑ Raw GPS-RO data (time delay) have very high accuracy in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (500 hPa to 40 km) but different
- ❑ errors and uncertainties are introduced during inversion to the atmospheric state variables




Drift in GPS Profiles




**From 400 hPa
to 100 hPa**




**From ground
To 400 hPa**



ATMS vs. GPS RO



ATMS vs. GPS RO



- **SAPHIR and ATMS observations show very good consistency**
- **SAPHIR provides a great opportunity for inter-calibrating MW WV channels on POES satellites or to transfer the calibration among the POES satellites**
- **There is still a lack of reference datasets (identical measurements in terms of physical quantity and geometry) for validating MW satellite observations**
- **Radiosonde data can only be used to evaluate the overall bias in the WV channels and cannot precisely detect the magnitude of the bias**
- **GPS-RO data provide a good opportunity for validating observations from upper troposphere and lower stratosphere but the difference between GPS-RO and satellite observations cannot be translated as absolute bias in the satellite data**
- **The window channels cannot still be validated because of uncertainty in the surface emissivity**

Thanks for your attention


Isaac Moradi
University of Maryland
Email: imoradi@umd.edu

Sunrise in Northern Sweden after a two-month long polar-night



Paper under revision at IEEE TGRS
Moradi, I., Ferraro, R., Eriksson, P., Weng, F., Inter-calibration and
validation of observations from ATMS and SAPHIR microwave sounders


ARM Stations



Moradi et al., JGR, 2010, DOI: 10.1029/2010JD013962

ATMS (AMSU+MHS)

- **ATMS: Advanced Technology Microwave Sounder**
- **22 channels, almost all AMSU-A and MHS plus a few additional channels**



© NASA

Megha-Tropiques Orbital Characteristics ©CNES

Orbit	Altitude	Inclination	Period	#rev/day
Circular	867 km	20°	102.16 min	14

Saphir Instrument Characteristics

Saphir Channels

Channel N°.	Central frequencies (GHz)	Bandwidth (MHz)	radiometric sensitivity (estimated by calculation)	polarisation
S1	183,31 ± 0.20	200	1,82 K	H
S2	183,31 ± 1.10	350	1,01 K	H
S3	183,31 ± 2.70	500	0,93 K	H
S4	183,31 ± 4.00	700	0,88 K	H
S5	183,31 ± 6.60	1200	0,81 K	H
S6	183,31 ± 11.00	2000	0,73 K	H

Pixel interval /y (nadir)	10 km
Earth pixel Number of pixels (Earth)	128
Incidence angle (ground)	<50 deg.
Swath	1661 km
Extreme pixel size /x	21.96 km
Extreme pixel size /y	14.29 km
Average pixel size /x	13.3 km
Average pixel size /y	11.3 km
Average pixel size	12.3 km
Scan interval (/x)	10 km
Rotation period	1.639 s
Rotation frequency	0.61 Hz