

Radiometric calibration intercomparison of Sentinel-2 MSI, Sentinel-3 OLCI and Landsat OLI using Deep Convective Clouds

Emphasis on the Sentinel-2 MSI and Landsat OLI DCC methods

F. Romand¹, S. Clerc¹, N. Lamquin¹, S. Moparthy¹, J. Bruniquel¹, S. Dransfeld², V. Boccia²

¹ACRI-ST, ²ESA GSICS Meeting, July 13th, 2023 Online meeting







Deep Convective Clouds for calibration monitoring

- DCC used for over two decades (Vermote and Kaufman, 1995; Hu et al. 2004; Doelling et al. 2004...)
- DCC properties:
 - high altitude (close to tropical tropopause)
 - ✓ high occurrence in the tropics
 - bright and white (high radiance, spectrally flat)
 - very vertically-extended, high optical thickness (low/no signal from beneath the cloud)



Expedition Crew 16, ISS, Image Science and Analysis Laboratory, Johnson Space Center





Deep Convective Clouds for calibration monitoring

- DCC used for over two decades (Vermote and Kaufman, 1995; Hu et al. 2004; Doelling et al. 2004...)
- DCC properties:
 - high altitude (close to tropical tropopause)
 - ✓ high occurrence in the tropics
 - bright and white (high radiance, spectrally flat)
 - very vertically-extended, high optical thickness (low/no signal from beneath the cloud)
 - ✓ can be very extended horizontally
 - ✓ nearly Lambertian



DCC extending over a complete S2 tile (~100x100 km²)



History of the ACRI-ST DCC method

DCC cross-sensor intercalibration \rightarrow 2 twin sensors

Sentinel-3 OLCI A/B

- ✓ From November 2019
- Lamquin et al. (2020) OLCI A/B Tandem Phase Analysis, Part 3: Post-Tandem Monitoring of Cross-Calibration from Statistics of Deep Convective Clouds Observations. Remote Sens. 2020, 12, 3105. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12183105</u>

Adaptation to Sentinel-2 MSI A/B

- ✓ From early 2022 to now
- ✓ Presented at CALCON 2022: Monitoring Sentinel-2 MSI inter-calibration using Deep Convective Clouds
- Adaptation to Landsat-8 OLI / Landsat-9 OLI-2
 - ✓ From early 2022 to early 2023





















© ACRI-ST | OPT-MPC - 2023



















From Lamquin et al. (2020) OLCI A/B Tandem Phase Analysis, Part 3: Post-Tandem Monitoring of Cross-Calibration from Statistics of Deep Convective Clouds Observations. Remote Sens. 2020, 12, 3105. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12183105</u>







- ✓ Use of near-nadir camera only for S3 (cam-4)
- Comparisons between all sensors (time-series intercomparisons) from March 2022 to January 2023



Bands of interest

- Focus on several "comparable" bands
 - ✓ 5 in the VNIR (for all sensors)
 - ✓ 3 in the SWIR (OLCI excepted)



Objectives definition



Objectives are:

OPT-MPC

ACRI-ST LOPT-MP

- Follow the intercalibration of each pair of "twin" sensors
- Follow the relative levels of the comparable bands of the different missions
- Detect a potential drift

Objectives aren't:

- Provide an absolute level of radiometric calibration
- Rank the sensors in terms of radiometric accuracy





Sensor-wise:

- VNIR: 3 % dispersion (except 01/2023 443nm, ~4%)
- Alignment of 1610 nm SWIR band ~correct
- More dispersion in cirrus and last SWIR band

Temporally:

Each sensor's VNIR band within 2 %

More variability in the SWIR (cirrus band)



- ♦ S3 bias: ~2 % in the VIS, ~1 % in the NIR, OLCI-A brighter
- S2 ratios: around 0, up to 1.5 %
- Landsat: similar with more dispersion (lower number of products)



















Conclusions and perspectives



Conclusions

In the VNIR:

- ✓ MSI-A and MSI-B bias from 0 to 1.5%
- ✓ OLI and OLI-2 bias from 0 to 1.5%
- ✓ OLCI-A and OLCI-B cam-4 bias from 1 − 2.5% (very stable)
- MSI-A and MSI-B between OLCI-A and OLCI-B cam-4 levels
- ✓ OLI and OLI-2 aligned with OLCI-B (cam-4)

Overall:

✓ No systematic drift

Conclusions and perspectives

In the SWIR:

- ✓ 4 to 11% overall dispersion in cirrus band
- Strong bias between MSI-A and MSI-B for 2200 nm band (8%)
- OLI and OLI-2 aligned with MSI-A for 2200 nm band
- Peak in June 2022 for MSI-A and MSI-B in SWIR 1 and 2, TBC with OLI and OLI-2 data



Perspectives

- In-progress work
 - Missing months for Sentinel-3 and Landsat
 - ✓ Automation of the Landsat DCC
 - ✓ Automation of the Sentinel-3 DCC (+ saturation effects correction)

Foreseen activities

- ✓ Use the 5 OLCI cameras (+ directional effects correction)
- ✓ Saturation effects for Landsat OLI and Sentinel-2 MSI ?
- ✓ Generic DCC tool for any multi/hyperspectral sensor

Conclusions and perspectives





Backup slides

© ACRI-ST | OPT-MPC – 2023





- Payloads and missions have some major differences: swath, resolution, revisit, bands...
- Sentinel-2 and Landsat for land monitoring, Sentinel-3 for marine observation: acquisition plan differs.
- Sentinel-3 DCC detection uses a different method, taking advantage of SLSTR 10.8 μm TIR band.
- Transmission spectra used for Sentinel-3 slightly differs from spectra used for Sentinel-2 and Landsat.
- Transmissions corresponding to a mean ozone content are used for Landsat.
- ♦ Products from a greater latitude range are considered for Sentinel-3 (-25° 25°).
- The use of post-mode inflexion point may depend on the context, it is subject to discussion.
- The effects of spectral band shape are currently being investigated at ACRI-ST, in a different context.





Per-zone results

Distinction of geographical regions

- To investigate regional effects
- Exact same methodology + distinction of 3 zones:
 - ✓ South America (-100°: -25° lat.)
 - ✓ Africa / Indian Ocean (-25°: 80° lat.)
 - Indonesia / Pacific (80°: -100° lat.)







Why is there reflectances greater than 1?

Topology of the clouds and reflectance conversion

$$\rho(\theta_s, \phi_s, \theta_v, \phi_v) = \frac{\pi . L(\theta_s, \phi_s, \theta_v, \phi_v)}{Es. \cos(\theta_s)}$$



- * Reflectance normalized by $\cos(\theta_s)$, the angle between the direction of the sun and the vertical
- Should be normalized by cos(θ_i), the angle between the direction of the sun and the normal to the surface, but the "topography" of the cloud is unknown