

Radiance Comparison from OCO-3 and OCO-2 Simultaneous Nadir Observations (SNOs)



thomas p kurosu, Robert Rosenberg, Graziela R. Keller, Shanshan Yu, Gary Spiers, Vivienne Payne, Abhishek Chatterjee

Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology

2023 GSICS Annual Meeting, VIS/NIR Break-Out Session, 2 March 2023



Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

NASA

2023 California Institute of Technology Government sponsorship acknowledged

OCO-2 vs OCO-3 Instrument Overview

		000.0
	000-2	000-3
launch	02 July 2014	04 May 2019
orbit	sun-synchronous, A-Train	precessing, ISS, 51.6° inclination
coverage	pole-to-pole, 1330h ect	52°S – 52°N, variable
footprint size @nadir	3 km ²	4.5 km ²
spectrometer	3 bands: 0.765 μm, 1.61 μm, 2.06 μm, 20,000 resolving power (OCO-3 was built as the OCO-2 spare)	
observed species	CO ₂ dry-air column (XCO ₂) solar-induced fluorescence (SIF)	
observation modes	nadir, glint, target	nadir, glint, target, SAM
off-nadir viewing	move spacecraft	pointing mirror assembly two mirrors: azimuth and elevation, moving independently
repeatability of observations	same local time every orbit; spatial repeat after 233 orbits (16 days)	none! local time a little earlier each day; day-by- day change in latitude coverage





OCO-3 First Light Radiance Spectra



continuum radiance windows – "the gaps between the wiggles" (qualitative)



OCO-2 Observation Modes – 2022-10-01





OCO-3 Observation Modes – 2022-10-01





OCO-2&3 Observation Modes - 2022-10-01





OCO-2&3 In-Flight Calibration Sources – Why Consider SNOs?

sources actually used for calibration

Source	OCO-2	OCO-3
On-Board Lamps	every orbit	every orbit
Solar	every orbit	impossible due to ISS constraints
Lunar	monthly	infrequent (not used for B10, work in progress)

additional sources to test/verify calibration

Vicarious (RRV)	frequent	frequent
Cross-Sensor (SNOs)	when possible	when possible

OCO-3 Challenges:

- o On-board calibration lamps are changing/degrading relative to pre-flight measurements
- Solar observations are not possible due to mounting location on the ISS
- Lunar observations are infrequent, and of inconsistent moon phases



OCO-3 In-Flight Calibration Lamp 1 – Change Over Mission Lifetime



Lamp 1 O₂ A Band Radiance relative Thermal Vacuum Test (2018)



How Do We Define and Determine an SNO?

OCO-2 and OCO-3 observe the same location over the Earth

- within 20 minutes of each other, and
- have footprints centered within 2 km of each other

Process of SNO determination

- find ISS/OCO-2 spacecraft crossings within 20 min
 (OrbNav Tool: https://www.ssec.wisc.edu/~gregq/collopak/orbnav.html)
- o identify corresponding L1b data product files (nadir observations only)
- match footprints between the sensors in space and time

SNO analysis is still experimental:

- limited to continuum radiance comparisons
- o filter radiance comparisons for homogeneous scenes (low scene/radiance variability)
- compare radiance ratios for overlapping footprints using tessellation-based gridded data (different footprint sizes and footprint overlap)



OCO-2&3 Observation Modes – 2022-10-01 SNO Locations





SNO Maps 2022-10-01: Continuum Radiances, O₂ A Band Channel (0.765 µm)



OCO-2 (narrow swath due to rotated spacecraft, for polarization mitigation) OCO-3



OCO-2 – OCO-3 Simultaneous Nadir Overpasses 2019-08-06 – 2023-01-30

OCO-2/3 (Build 11/10.3) Daytime Near-Simultaneous Nadir Overpass Locations 2019-08-06 - 2023-01-30 (1248 SNOs within 1200s)



SNOs tend to occur at the ISS turn-around latitudes where OCO-2/3 tracks are at their largest relative angle

OCO-2 – OCO-3 Simultaneous Nadir Overpasses 2019-08-06 – 2023-01-30, $\leq 10\%$ Variation in Radiance Ratio

OCO-2/3 (Build 11/10.3) Daytime Near-Simultaneous Nadir Overpass Locations 2019-08-06 - 2023-01-30 (103 SNOs within 1200s, ≤10% variance)



Limiting SNOs by variation in radiance ratio reduces effects from scene inhomogeneity (surface, clouds, etc.)

SNO Example: 2020-12-24 1120UTC, All Bands





SNO Example: 2020-12-24 1120UTC, All Bands, Zoom of SNO Match Area



Matched OCO-2/OCO-3 footprints are indicated by **red** and **blue** dots. One footprint can have multiple matches, thus the number of matched footprint pairs (here 1060) is usually larger than the number of dotted footprints





Avg. Radiance Ratio 1.038±0.734

Avg. Radiance Ratio 1.453±1.779

Avg. Radiance Ratio 2.145±2.678

Native Spatial Resolution



Avg. Radiance Ratio 1.041±0.188

Avg. Radiance Ratio 0.976±0.239

Gridded to 1×1 km²

Avg. Radiance Ratio 1.132±0.384





Avg. Radiance Ratio 1.024±0.167

Avg. Radiance Ratio 0.964±0.313

Gridded to 2×2 km²

Avg. Radiance Ratio 1.084±0.380





Avg. Radiance Ratio 0.988±0.207

Avg. Radiance Ratio 0.939±0.332

Gridded to 3×3 km²

Avg. Radiance Ratio 1.022±0.440



OCO-2 – OCO-3 Simultaneous Nadir Overpasses Histograms of Continuum Radiance Ratios, by Band and Cross-Track Footprint

1.0050:2267

Mode: 1.005

Mode: 0.955

Avg: 0.980±0.094

1.2

Avg: 1.053±0.132

Mode: 0.985

Avg: 1.025±0.111



400

200

OCO-3 Footprint 8: 1.049±0.130



Jet Propulsion Laboratory

1.0 OCO-3/OCO-2 Continuum Radiance Ratio

0.9850:1212

OCO-2 – OCO-3 Simultaneous Nadir Overpasses Histograms of Continuum Radiance Ratios, by Band and Cross-Track Footprint





OCO-2 – OCO-3 Simultaneous Nadir Overpasses Histograms of Continuum Radiance Ratios, by Band and Cross-Track Footprint



weak CO₂ channel (1.61 μm)

strong CO₂ channel (2.06 μm)



vicarious calibration over RRV indicates OCO-2 wCO₂ band is high

native

spatial resolution



OCO-3/OCO-2 SNO Analysis – Current Summary

- Continuum Radiance comparison shows no obvious footprint-dependent bias
- Indications that OCO-2 weak CO₂ band radiances are high are in line with recent results from vicarious calibration
- Radiance Ratios of native resolution and gridded data indicate strong influence of outlier data (on/off land, on/off cloud)

OCO-3/OCO-2 SNO Analysis – Next Steps

- > refine footprint pair selection screen for obvious ratio outliers
- refine continuum selection
- compare spectral shape of continuum radiances
- > quantify analysis by surface type, scene heterogeneity, etc.
- refine analysis of spatial gridding resolution, to account for differences in OCO-2 and OCO-3 footprint sizes and adjacent footprint overlap





https://ocov2.jpl.nasa.gov/ https://ocov3.jpl.nasa.gov/





Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

©2023 California Institute of Technology Government sponsorship acknowledged